arXiv:0707.2114v1 [math.OA] 14 Jul 2007

ORBIT EQUIVALENCE OF TOPOLOGICAL MARKOV SHIFTS AND CUNTZ-KRIEGER ALGEBRAS

Kengo Matsumoto

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Yokohama City University
Seto 22-2, Kanazawa-ku, Yokohama 236-0027, JAPAN

Abstract. We will prove that one-sided topological Markov shifts (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) for matrices A and B with entries in $\{0,1\}$ are topologically orbit equivalent if and only if there exists an isomorphism between the Cuntz-Krieger algebras $\mathcal{O}_A$ and $\mathcal{O}_B$ keeping their commutative C^*-subalgerbas $C(X_A)$ and $C(X_B)$. It is also equivalent to the condition that there exists a homeomorphism from X_A to X_B intertwining their topological full groups. We will also study structure of the automorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_A$ keeping the commutative C^*-algebra $C(X_A)$.

1.Introduction

Study of orbit equivalence of ergodic finite measure preserving transformations was initiated by H. Dye [D], [D2], who proved that any such tranformations are orbit equivalent. W. Krieger [Kr] has proved that two ergodic non-singular transformations are orbit equivalent if and only if the associated von Neumann crossed producs are isomorphic. In topological setting, Giordano-Putnam-Skau [GPS],[GPS2] (cf.[HPS]) have proved that two Cantor minimal systems are strong orbit equivalent if and only if the associated C^*-crossed products are isomorphic. In more general setting, J. Tomiyama [To] (cf. [BT], [To2]) has proved that two topological free homeomorphisms (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) on compact Hausdorff spaces are continuously orbit equivalent if and only if there exists an isomorphism between the associated C^*-crossed products keeping their commutative C^*-subalgebras $C(X)$ and $C(Y)$. He also proved that it is equivalent to the condition that there exists a homeomorphism $h : X \to Y$ such that h preserves their topological full groups.

In this paper we will study relationship between orbit structure of one-sided topological Markov shifts and algebraic structure of the associated Cuntz-Krieger algebras. Let (X_A, σ_A) be the right one-sided topological Markov shift defined by an $N \times N$ square matrix A with entries in $\{0,1\}$, where σ_A denotes the shift transformation. The one-sided topological Markov shifts are no longer homeomorphism in general and the Cuntz-Krieger algebras can not be written as a crossed product by $\mathbb{Z}$ in natural way. Hence Giordano-Putnam-Skau and Tomiyama's method

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L55; Secondary 46L35, 37B10.

Key words and phrases. Topological Markov shifts, orbit equivalence, full groups, Cuntz-Krieger algebra.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

can not apply to study one-sided topological Markov shifts and Cuntz-Krieger algebras. However similar type theorems to theirs will be proved in our setting by using a representation of $\mathcal{O}_A$ on a Hilbert space having its complete orthonormal basis consisting of all points of the shift space X_A. Let $\mathfrak{D}_A$ be the C^*-subalgebra consisting of all diagonal elements of the canonical AF-algebra $\mathcal{F}_A$ inside of $\mathcal{O}_A$. It is naturally isomorphic to the commutative C^*-algebra $C(X_A)$ of all $\mathbb{C}$-valued continuous functions on X_A. Let $[\sigma_A]_c$ be the topological full group of (X_A, σ_A) whose elements consist of homeomorphisms τ on X_A such that $\tau(x)$ is contained in the orbit $orb_{\sigma_A}(x)$ of x by σ_A for all $x \in X_A$, and its orbit cocycles are continuous. We say that (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are topologically orbit equivalent if there exists a homeomorphism $h : X_A \longrightarrow X_B$ such that $h(orb_{\sigma_A}(x)) = orb_{\sigma_B}(h(x))$ for $x \in X_A$ and their orbit cocycles are continuous. We will prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1(Theorem 5.6). *Let A, B be square matrices with entries in $\{0,1\}$. Assume that the matrices A, B and their transposed matrices A^t, B^t all satisfy condition (I) in [CK]. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *There exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{O}_A \to \mathcal{O}_B$ such that $\Phi(\mathfrak{D}_A) = \mathfrak{D}_B$.*
2. *(X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are topologically orbit equivalent.*
3. *There exists a homeomorphism $h : X_A \to X_B$ such that $h \circ [\sigma_A]_c \circ h^{-1} = [\sigma_B]_c$.*

To prove the above theorem, we study the normalizer $N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ of $\mathfrak{D}_A$ in $\mathcal{O}_A$, that is defined as the group of all unitaries $u \in \mathfrak{D}_A$ such that $u\mathfrak{D}_A u^* = \mathfrak{D}_A$. We denote by $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)$ the group of all unitaries in $\mathfrak{D}_A$. We will prove

Theorem 1.2(Theorem 4.8). *Let A be a square matrix with entries in $\{0,1\}$ satisfying condition (I) in [CK]. Then there exists a short exact sequnce:*

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) \xrightarrow{\tau} [\sigma_A]_c \longrightarrow 1$$

that splits.

Let $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ be the group of all automorphisms α of $\mathcal{O}_A$ such that $\alpha(\mathfrak{D}_A) = \mathfrak{D}_A$. Denote by $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ of inner automorphisms on $\mathcal{O}_A$. We set $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ the quotient group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)/\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$. We will further prove

Theorem 1.3(Theorem 6.5). *Assume that both A and A^t satisfy condition (I) in [CK]. Then there exist short exact sequeneces:*

1. $1 \longrightarrow Z^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) \xrightarrow{\phi} N([\sigma_A]_c) \longrightarrow 1,$
2. $1 \longrightarrow B^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) \xrightarrow{\phi} [\sigma_A]_c \longrightarrow 1,$
3. $1 \longrightarrow H^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) \xrightarrow{\phi} N([\sigma_A]_c)/[\sigma_A]_c \longrightarrow 1.$

They all split. Hence $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ is a semi-direct product

$$\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) = N([\sigma_A]_c)/[\sigma_A]_c \cdot H^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)).$$

where $N([\sigma_A]_c)$ denotes the normalizer subgroup of $[\sigma_A]_c$ in the group $\mathrm{Homeo}(X_A)$ of all homeomorphisms on X_A, and $Z^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A))$, $B^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A))$ and $H^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A))$

are the group of unitary one-cocycles for σ_A, the subgroup of $Z^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A))$ of coboundaries and the cohomology group $Z^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A))/B^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A))$ respectively.

Similar type theorems hold for the pair of the canonical AF-algebra $\mathcal{F}_A$ inside $\mathcal{O}_A$ and its diagonal algebra $\mathfrak{D}_A$, that are studied in Section 7.

The results of this paper will be generalized to more general subshifts and the C^*-algebras associated with the subshifts considered in [Ma1] (cf.[CM]) and [Ma3] in a forthcoming paper [Ma4]. Throughout the paper, we denote by $\mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\mathbb{N}$ the set of nonnegative integers and the set of positive integers respectively.

The author would like to thank to Takeshi Katsura for his excellent lectures on topological dynamics and C^*-algebras in COE seminor May 2007 at the University of Tokyo.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $A = [A(i,j)]_{i,j=1}^N$ be an $N \times N$ matrix with entries in $\{0,1\}$, where $1 < N \in \mathbb{N}$. Throughout the paper, we always assume that A satisfies condition (I) in the sense of Cuntz-Krieger [CK]. We denote by X_A the shift space

$$X_A = \{(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \{1,\dots,N\}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid A(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

over $\{1,\dots,N\}$ of the right one-sided topological Markov shift for A. It is a compact Hausdorff space in natural product topology. The shift transformation σ_A on X_A is defined by $\sigma_A((x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) = (x_{n+1})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. It is a continuous surjective map on X_A. The topological dynamical system (X_A, σ_A) is called the topological Markov shift for A. The condition (I) for A is equivalent to the condition that X_A is homeomorphic to a Cantor discontinuum.

A word $\mu = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_k$ for $\mu_i \in \{1,\dots,N\}$ is said to be admissible for X_A if μ appears in somewhere in some element x in X_A. We denote by $B_k(X_A)$ the set of all admissible words of length $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For $k = 0$ we denote by $B_0(X_A)$ the empty word $\emptyset$. We set $B_*(X_A) = \cup_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(X_A)$ the set of admissible words of X_A. For $x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_A$ and positive integers k, l with $k \le l$, we put the word $x_{[k,l]} = (x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_l) \in B_{l-k+1}(X_A)$ and the right infinite sequence $x_{[k,\infty)} = (x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots) \in X_A$.

The Cuntz-Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$ for the matrix A has been defined by the universal C^*-algebra generated by N partial isometries $S_1, \dots, S_N$ subject to the relations:

$$\sum_{j=1}^N S_j S_j^* = 1, \qquad S_i^* S_i = \sum_{j=1}^N A(i,j) S_j S_j^*, \quad i = 1,\dots,N \quad ([CK]).$$

If A satisfies condition (I), the algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$ is the unique C^*-algebra subject to the above relations. For a word $\mu = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_k \in B_k(X_A)$, we denote by $S_\mu = S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_k}$. By the universality for the above relations, the correspondence $S_i \longrightarrow e^{\sqrt{-1}t} S_i, i = 1,\dots,N$ for $e^{\sqrt{-1}t} \in \mathbb{T} = \{e^{\sqrt{-1}t} \mid t \in [0, 2\pi]\}$ yields an action $\rho : \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A)$ that is called the gauge action. It is well-known that the fixed point algebra of $\mathcal{O}_A$ under ρ is the AF-algebra $\mathcal{F}_A$ generated by elements $S_\mu S_\nu^*, \mu, \nu \in B(X_A)$ with $|\mu| = |\nu|$ ([CK]). Let $\mathcal{F}_A^n$ be the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_A$ generated by elements $S_\mu S_\nu^*, \mu, \nu \in B_n(X_A)$. Hence $\mathcal{F}_A^{\mathrm{alg}} = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_A^n$ is a dense $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_A$. We denote by $E : \mathcal{O}_A \to \mathcal{F}_A$ the conditional expectation define by $E(a) =$

$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho_t(a)dt$ for $a \in \mathcal{O}_A$. Let $\mathfrak{D}_A$ be the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_A$ consisting of all diagonal elements of $\mathcal{F}_A$. It is generated by elements $S_\mu S_\mu^*, \mu \in B_*(X_A)$ and isomorphic to the commutative C^*-algebra $C(X_A)$ of all $\mathbb{C}$-valued continuous functions on X_A through the correspondence $S_\mu S_\mu^* \in \mathfrak{D}_A \longleftrightarrow \chi_\mu \in C(X_A)$ where χ_μ denotes the characteristic function for the cylinder set $U_\mu = \{(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_A \mid x_1 = \mu_1, \dots, x_k = \mu_k\}$ for $\mu = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_k \in B_k(X_A)$. We identify $C(X_A)$ with the subalgebra $\mathfrak{D}_A$ of $\mathcal{O}_A$. Then the following lemma is well-known and basic in our further discussions.

Lemma 2.1([CK;Remark 2.18], cf.[Ma2;Lemma 3.1]). *The algebra $\mathfrak{D}_A$ is maximal abelian in $\mathcal{O}_A$.*

In [To], [To2], Tomiyama has used structure of pure state extensions of point evaluations of the underlying space to study orbit structure of topological dynamical systems of homeomorphisms on compact Hausdorff spaces. However for the Cuntz-Krieger algebras, it has not been clarified structure of pure state extensions of point evaluations of the underlying shift space. Instead of point evaluations, we will use a representation of the Cuntz-Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$ on a Hilbert space having the shift space X_A as a complete orthonormal basis, as in the following way. Let $\mathfrak{H}_A$ be the Hilbert space with its complete orthonormal system $e_x, x \in X_A$. The Hilbert space is not separable. Consider the partial isometries $T_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ defined by

$$T_i e_x = \begin{cases} e_{ix} & \text{if } ix \in X_A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where ix is defined by $ix = (i, x_1, x_2, \dots)$ for $x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_A$. It is easy to see that they satisfy the conditions $\sum_{j=1}^N T_j T_j^* = 1, T_i^* T_i = \sum_{j=1}^N A(i,j) T_j T_j^*$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$ so that the correspondence $S_i \to T_i$ yields a faithful representation of $\mathcal{O}_A$ on $\mathfrak{H}_A$. We regard the algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$ as the C^*-algebra generated by $T_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ on the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}_A$ by this representation, and write T_i as S_i (cf. [Ma2;Lemma 4.1]).

3. Topological Full groups of Markov shifts

For $x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_A$, the orbit $orb_{\sigma_A}(x)$ of x is defined by

$$orb_{\sigma_A}(x) = \cup_{k=0}^\infty \cup_{l=0}^\infty \sigma_A^{-k}(\sigma_A^l(x)) \subset X_A.$$

Hence $y = (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_A$ belongs to $orb_{\sigma_A}(x)$ if and only if there exists an admissible word $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k \in B_k(X_A)$ such that

$$y = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, y_{l+1}, y_{l+2}, \dots) \qquad \text{for some } k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

We denote by $\mathrm{Homeo}(X_A)$ the group of all homeomorphisms on X_A. We define the full group $[\sigma_A]$ and the topological full group $[\sigma_A]_c$ for (X_A, σ_A) as in the following way.

Definition. Let $[\sigma_A]$ be the set of all homeomorphism $\tau \in \mathrm{Homeo}(X_A)$ such that $\tau(x) \in orb_{\sigma_A}(x)$ for all $x \in X_A$. We call $[\sigma_A]$ the ful group of (X_A, σ_A). Let $[\sigma_A]_c$ be the set of all τ in $[\sigma_A]$ such that there exist continuous maps $k, l : X_A \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\sigma_A^{k(x)}(\tau(x)) = \sigma_A^{l(x)}(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X_A. \tag{3.1}$$

We call $[\sigma_A]_c$ the topological full group for (X_A, σ_A). The maps k, l above are called orbit cocycles for τ, and sometimes written as k_τ, l_τ respectively. We remark that the orbit cocyles are not necessarily uniquely determined for τ.

Examples. (i) Put $F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Define $\tau \in \mathrm{Homeo}(X_F)$ by setting

$$\tau(x_1, x_2, \dots,) = \begin{cases} (2, 1, 1, x_4, x_5, \dots,) & \text{if } (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (1, 1, 1), \\ (1, 1, 1, x_4, x_5, \dots,) & \text{if } (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (2, 1, 1), \\ (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \dots,) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $\sigma_F(\tau(x)) = \sigma_F(x)$ for all $x \in X_F$, by putting $k(x) = l(x) = 1$ for all $x \in X_F$, one sees that τ belongs to $[\sigma_F]_c$.

(ii) More generally, let A be an $N \times N$ matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we put

$$W_p(i) = \{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p) \in B_p(X_A) \mid A(\mu_p, i) = 1\}.$$

We denote by $\mathfrak{S}(W_p(i))$ the group of all permutations on the set $W_p(i)$. Put $\mathfrak{S}_p(A) = \mathfrak{S}(W_p(1)) \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}(W_p(N))$. Then for an N-family $s = (s_1, \dots, s_N) \in \mathfrak{S}_p(A)$ of permutations defines a homeomorphism $\tau_s \in \mathrm{Homeo}(X_A)$ by setting

$$\tau_s(x_1, \dots, x_p, x_{p+1}, \dots) = (s_{x_{p+1}}(x_1, \dots, x_p), x_{p+1}, \dots), \qquad x \in X_A.$$

It is easy to see that $\tau_s(x) \in orb_{\sigma_A}(x)$ for all $x \in X_A$ and satisfies (3.1) for $k(x) = l(x) = p$ for all $x \in X_A$. Hence τ_s yields an element of $[\sigma_A]_c$.

Let A be an arbitrary fixed $N \times N$ matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ satisfying condition (I). The following lemma is direct.

Lemma 3.1. *$[\sigma_A]$ is a subgroup of* $\mathrm{Homeo}(X_A)$ *and $[\sigma_A]_c$ is a subgroup of $[\sigma_A]$.*

Although σ_A does not belong to $[\sigma_A]$, the following lemma shows that σ_A locally belongs to $[\sigma_A]_c$, and the group $[\sigma_A]_c$ is not trivial in any case.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that both the matrices A and A^t satisfy condition (I). For any $\mu \in B_2(X_A)$, there exists $\tau_\mu \in [\sigma_A]_c$ and continuous maps $k_{\tau_\mu}, l_{\tau_\mu} : X_A \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_A^{k_{\tau_\mu}(x)}(\tau_\mu(x)) = \sigma_A^{l_{\tau_\mu}(x)}(x) & \text{for } x \in X_A, \\ \tau_\mu(y) = \sigma_A(y) & \text{for } y \in U_\mu, \\ k_{\tau_\mu}(y) = 0, \quad l_{\tau_\mu}(y) = 1 & \text{for } y \in U_\mu. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. For $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2) \in B_2(X_A)$, we have two cases.

Case 1: $\mu_1 = \mu_2$.

Put $a = \mu_1 = \mu_2$. Since A^t satisfies condition (I), there exists $b_1 \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that $b_1 \neq a$ and $A(b_1, a) = 1$. Put $\{b_1, \dots, b_{N-1}\} = \{1, \dots, N\} \backslash \{a\}$. Let $\{b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_M}\}$ be the set of all elements of $\{b_1, \dots, b_{N-1}\}$ satisfying $A(a, b_{i_1}) = \cdots =$

$A(a, b_{i_M}) = 1$. The set $\{b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_M}\}$ is nonempty because A satisfies condition (I). Define a homeomorphism $\tau_\mu : X_A \to X_A$ by setting

$$\tau_\mu(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma_A(x) \in U_a & \text{if } x \in U_{aa}, \\ b_1 a b_{i_1} x_{[3,\infty)} \in U_{b_1 a b_{i_1}} & \text{if } x = a b_{i_1} x_{[3,\infty)} \in U_{a b_{i_1}}, \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ b_1 a b_{i_M} x_{[3,\infty)} \in U_{b_1 a b_{i_M}} & \text{if } x = a b_{i_M} x_{[3,\infty)} \in U_{a b_{i_M}}, \\ b_1 a a x_{[3,\infty)} \in U_{b_1 a a} & \text{if } x = b_1 a x_{[3,\infty)} \in U_{b_1 a}, \\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We set

$$k_{\tau_\mu}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in U_{aa}, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in U_{a b_{i_1}}, \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in U_{a b_{i_M}}, \\ 2 & \text{if } x \in U_{b_1 a}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \qquad l_{\tau_\mu}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in U_{aa}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in U_{a b_{i_1}}, \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in U_{a b_{i_M}}, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in U_{b_1 a}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

so that

$$\sigma_A^{k_{\tau_\mu}(x)}(\tau_\mu(x)) = \sigma_A^{l_{\tau_\mu}(x)}(x) \qquad \text{for } x \in X_A.$$

Hence $\tau_\mu \in [\sigma_A]_c$ and $\tau_\mu(y) = \sigma_A(y), k_{\tau_\mu}(y) = 0, l_{\tau_\mu}(y) = 1$ for $y \in U_\mu = U_{aa}$.

Case 2: $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$.

Put $a = \mu_1, b = \mu_2$. Define a homeomorphism $\tau_\mu : X_A \to X_A$ by setting

$$\tau_\mu(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma_A(x) \in U_b & \text{if } x \in U_{ab}, \\ bx \in U_{ab} & \text{if } x \in U_b, \\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We set

$$k_{\tau_\mu}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in U_{ab}, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in U_b, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \qquad l_{\tau_\mu}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in U_{ab}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in U_b, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

so that

$$\sigma_A^{k_{\tau_\mu}(x)}(\tau_\mu(x)) = \sigma_A^{l_{\tau_\mu}(x)}(x) \qquad \text{for } x \in X_A.$$

Hence $\tau_\mu \in [\sigma_A]_c$ and $\tau_\mu(y) = \sigma_A(y), k_{\tau_\mu}(y) = 0, l_{\tau_\mu}(y) = 1$ for $y \in U_\mu = U_{ab}$. □

We remark that this lemma holds for any word μ with any length $|\mu| \geq 2$ by a similar argument to the above.

Lemma 3.3. *For $x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_A$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ with $jx = (j, x_1, x_2, \dots) \in X_A$, there exists $\tau \in [\sigma_A]_c$ such that $\tau(x) = jx$.*

Proof. If $x = j^\infty = (j, j, \dots)$, we may choose id as τ. If $x \neq j^\infty$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ with $i \neq j$ such that $x_n = j$ for $1 \leq n \leq k-1$ and $x_k = i$. Put

$\mu = (\underbrace{j, \dots, j}_{k-1}, i) \in B_k(X_A)$ and $\nu = (\underbrace{j, \dots, j}_{k}, i) = j\mu \in B_{k+1}(X_A)$ so that $x \in U_\mu$. Define $\tau : X_A \to X_A$ by setting

$$\tau(y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots) = \begin{cases} (j, y_1, y_2, \dots) & \text{if } y \in U_\mu, \\ (y_2, y_3, y_4, \dots) & \text{if } y \in U_\nu, \\ (y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $U_\mu \cap U_\nu = \emptyset$, one knows that $\tau : X_A \to X_A$ yields an element of $[\sigma_A]_c$. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *Put* $[\sigma_A]_c(x) = \{\tau(x) \in X_A \mid \tau \in [\sigma_A]_c\}$ *for* $x \in X_A$. *Then we have*

$$[\sigma_A]_c(x) = orb_{\sigma_A}(x) \qquad \text{for } x \in X_A.$$

Proof. For any $\tau \in [\sigma_A]$, there exist continuous maps $k, l : X_A \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\tau(x) = (\mu_1(x), \dots, \mu_{k(x)}(x), x_{l(x)+1}, x_{l(x)+2}, \dots)$ for some $(\mu_1(x), \dots, \mu_{k(x)}(x)) \in B_{k(x)}(X_A)$ so that $\tau(x) \in orb(x)$ is clear, and hence $[\sigma_A]_c(x) \subset orb_{\sigma_A}(x)$.

For the other inclusion relations, by the previous lemmas, for $x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_A$ and $j = \{1, \dots, N\}$ with $jx \in X_A$, there exist $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in [\sigma_A]_c$ such that

$$\tau_1(x) = (j, x_1, x_2, \dots,), \qquad \tau_2(x) = (x_2, x_3, \dots,)$$

so that

$$[\sigma_A]_c(x) \ni (j, x_1, x_2, \dots,), (x_2, x_3, \dots,).$$

Since $[\sigma_A]_c$ is a group, one sees that

$$[\sigma_A]_c(x) \ni (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, x_{l+1}, x_{l+2}, \dots,)$$

for all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k \in B_k(X_A)$ with $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, x_{l+1}, x_{l+2}, \dots) \in X_A$. Hence $[\sigma_A]_c(x) \supset orb_{\sigma_A}(x)$. $\square$

4. Full groups and normalizers

In this section, we will study the topological full group $[\sigma_A]_c$ and the normalizer $N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$. We denote by $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_A), \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)$ the groups of unitaries of $\mathcal{O}_A$ and $\mathfrak{D}_A$ respectively. The normalizer $N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ of $\mathfrak{D}_A$ in $\mathcal{O}_A$ is defined by

$$N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) = \{v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_A) \mid v\mathfrak{D}_A v^* = \mathfrak{D}_A\}.$$

We will identify the algebra $C(X_A)$ with the subalgebra $\mathfrak{D}_A$ of $\mathcal{O}_A$. We will first show the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *For* $\tau \in [\sigma_A]_c$, *there exists a unitary* $u_\tau \in N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ *such that*

$$Ad(u_\tau)(f) = f \circ \tau^{-1} \qquad \text{for } f \in \mathfrak{D}_A,$$

and the correspondence $\tau \in [\sigma_A]_c \to u_\tau \in N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ *is a homomorphism of group.*

Proof. Let the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$ be represented on the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}_A$ with complete orthonormal basis $\{e_x \mid x \in X_A\}$. Then the generating partial isometries $S_i, i =$

$1, \dots, N$ act on $\mathfrak{H}_A$ by $S_i e_x = e_{ix}$ if $ix \in X_A$, otherwise 0. Since $\tau : X_A \to X_A$ is a homeomorphism, the operator u_τ on $\mathfrak{H}_A$ defined by

$$u_\tau e_x = e_{\tau(x)} \qquad \text{for } x \in X_A$$

yields a unitary on $\mathfrak{H}_A$. We will prove that u_τ belongs to $\mathcal{O}_A$. As $\tau \in [\sigma_A]_c$, there exist continuous maps $l, k : X_A \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfying (3.1). Since both $k(X_A)$ and $l(X_A)$ are finite sets of $\mathbb{Z}_+$, there exist

$$\tilde{k} = \max\{k(x) \mid x \in X_A\} \quad \text{ and } \quad \tilde{l} = \max\{l(x) \mid x \in X_A\} \quad \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Take $\mu(x) = (\mu_1(x), \dots, \mu_{k(x)}(x)) \in B_{k(x)}(X_A)$ such that

$$\tau(x) = (\mu_1(x), \dots, \mu_{k(x)}(x), x_{l(x)+1}, x_{l(x)+2}, x_{l(x)+3}, \dots)$$

Since the set of words

$$\{(\mu_1(x), \dots, \mu_{k(x)}(x)) \in B_{k(x)}(X_A) \mid x \in X_A\}$$

is a finite subset of

$$W_{\tilde{k}}(X_A) = \cup_{j=0}^{\tilde{k}} B_j(X_A),$$

the map $x \in X_A \longrightarrow (\mu_1(x), \dots, \mu_{k(x)}(x)) \in W_{\tilde{k}}(X_A)$ is continuous, where $W_{\tilde{k}}(X_A)$ is endowed with discrete topology. For any word $\nu \in W_{\tilde{k}}(X_A)$ with $\nu = \nu_1 \cdots \nu_j, j \leq \tilde{k}$, the set

$$E_\nu = \{x \in X_A \mid \mu_1(x) = \nu_1, \dots, \mu_{k(x)}(x) = \nu_j\}$$

is clopen such taht $\cup_{\nu \in W_{\tilde{k}}(X_A)} E_\nu = X_A$. For $0 \leq n \leq \tilde{l}$, the set

$$F_n = \{x \in X_A \mid l(x) = n\}$$

is clopen in X_A. We set

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\nu &= \chi_{E_\nu} \in \mathfrak{D}_A \quad && \text{for } \nu \in W_{\tilde{k}}(X_A), \\ P_n &= \chi_{F_n} \in \mathfrak{D}_A \quad && \text{for } 0 \leq n \leq \tilde{l}. \end{aligned}$$

Since X_A is disjoint unions $X_A = \cup_{\nu \in W_{\tilde{k}}(X_A)} E_\nu = \cup_{n=0}^{\tilde{l}} F_n$, one has

$$\sum_{\nu \in W_{\tilde{k}}(X_A)} Q_\nu = \sum_{n=0}^{\tilde{l}} P_n = 1.$$

For $x \in X_A$ and $\nu \in W_{\tilde{k}}$, $0 \leq n \leq \tilde{l}$, one has $x \in E_\nu \cap F_n$ if and only if $e_{\tau(x)} = S_\nu e_{\sigma_A^n(x)}$, so that

$$e_{\tau(x)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\tilde{l}} \sum_{\nu \in W_{\tilde{k}}} (S_\nu \sum_{\xi \in B_n(X_A)} S_\xi^*) P_n Q_\nu e_x \qquad \text{for } x \in X_A.$$

Therefore we have

$$u_\tau = \sum_{n=0}^{\tilde{l}} \sum_{\nu \in W_{\tilde{k}}} (S_\nu \sum_{\xi \in B_n(X_A)} S_\xi^*) P_n Q_\nu$$

that belongs to the algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$. The equality

$$Ad(u_\tau)(f) = f \circ \tau^{-1} \quad \text{for } f \in \mathfrak{D}_A.$$

is straightforward $\square$.

For $v \in N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$, we put $Ad(v)(a) = vav^*$ for $a \in \mathcal{O}_A$. Then $Ad(v)$ induces an automorphism on both algebras $\mathcal{O}_A$ and $\mathfrak{D}_A$. Let τ_v denotes the homeomorphism on X_A induced by $Ad(v) : \mathfrak{D}_A \to \mathfrak{D}_A$ satisfying $Ad(v)(f) = f \circ {\tau_v}^{-1}$ for $f \in \mathfrak{D}_A$. We will prove that τ_v gives rise to an element of $[\sigma_A]_c$. We fix $v \in N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ for a while.

Lemma 4.2. *There exists a family $v_m, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ of partial isometries in $\mathcal{O}_A$ such that all but finitely many $v_m, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ are zero, and*

(1) $v = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} v_m$ *: finite sum.*
(2) $v_m^* v_m, v_m v_m^*$ *are projections in* $\mathfrak{D}_A$.
(3) $v_m^* v_{m'} = v_m v_{m'}^* = 0$ *for* $m \neq m'$.
(4) $v_0 \in \mathcal{F}_A$ *and satisfies* $v_0 \mathfrak{D}_A v_0^* \subset \mathfrak{D}_A$ *and* $v_0^* \mathfrak{D}_A v_0 \subset \mathfrak{D}_A$.

Proof. Put $g(t) = v^* \rho_t(v) \in \mathcal{O}_A$ for $t \in \mathbb{T}$. For $f \in \mathfrak{D}_A$, one has

$$\rho_t(v) f \rho_t(v)^* = \rho_t(v f v^*) = v f v^*$$

so that $v^* \rho_t(v)$ commutes with each element of $\mathfrak{D}_A$. By Lemma 2.1, $g(t)$ belongs to the algebra $\mathfrak{D}_A$. We put

$$v_m = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho_t(v) e^{-\sqrt{-1}mt} dt, \qquad \hat{g}(m) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} g(t) e^{-\sqrt{-1}mt} dt \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then $v_m = v\hat{g}(m)$. Since $g(t) \in \mathfrak{D}_A$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} g(t)^* =& \rho_t(v^* \rho_{-t}(v)) = g(-t), \\ g(t)g(s) =& v^* \rho_t(v) \rho_t(v^* \rho_s(v)) = g(t+s). \end{aligned}$$

One then sees that $\hat{g}(m), m \in \mathbb{Z}$ are projections in $\mathfrak{D}_A$ such that $\hat{g}(m)\hat{g}(m') = 0$ for $m \neq m'$. Regard $g(t) \in \mathfrak{D}_A$ as a function on X_A. For $x \in X_A$, one sees that $|g(t)(x)|^2 =< g(t)e_x \mid g(t)e_x >= 1$ so that by the Parseval's identity

$$1 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |g(t)(x)|^2 dt = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} | \int_{\mathbb{T}} g(t)(x) e^{-\sqrt{-1}mt} dt|^2 = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\hat{g}(m)(x) e_x\|^2.$$

Put $E_m = \operatorname{supp}(\hat{g}(m)), m \in \mathbb{Z}$. By the above equality, one has $X_A = \cup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} E_m$ and $E_m \cap E_{m'} = \emptyset$ for $m \neq m'$. By the compactness of X_A, one knows that all but finitely many E_m are empty, and that their sum is 1. Then both elements $v_m^* v_m = \hat{g}(m)$ and $v_m v_m^* = v\hat{g}(m)v^*$ are projections in $\mathfrak{D}_A$. Therefore the assertions (1), (2) and (3) hold. For the assertion (4), we have

$$v_0 = v\hat{g}(0) = v \int_{\mathbb{T}} v^* \rho_t(v) dt = E(v) \in \mathcal{F}_A$$

so that

$$v_0 \mathfrak{D}_A v_0^* = v\hat{g}(0) \mathfrak{D}_A \hat{g}(0) v^* \subset \mathfrak{D}_A, \qquad v_0^* \mathfrak{D}_A v_0 = \hat{g}(0) v^* \mathfrak{D}_A v \hat{g}(0) \subset \mathfrak{D}_A,$$

because $\hat{g}(0) \in \mathfrak{D}_A$. Thus we complete the proof. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *For a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist partial isometries $v_\mu, v_{-\mu} \in \mathcal{F}_A$ for each $\mu \in B_n(X_A)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

1. $v_n = \sum_{\mu \in B_n(X_A)} S_\mu v_\mu$ *and* $v_{-n} = \sum_{\mu \in B_n(X_A)} v_{-\mu} S_\mu^*$.
2. $v_\mu^* v_\mu$, $S_\mu v_\mu v_\mu^* S_\mu^*$, $S_\mu v_{-\mu}^* v_{-\mu} S_\mu^*$ *and* $v_{-\mu} v_{-\mu}^*$ *are projections in* $\mathfrak{D}_A$ *such that*
$$v_n^* v_n = \sum_{\mu \in B_n(X_A)} v_\mu^* v_\mu, \qquad v_n v_n^* = \sum_{\mu \in B_n(X_A)} S_\mu v_\mu v_\mu^* S_\mu^*,$$
$$v_{-n}^* v_{-n} = \sum_{\mu \in B_n(X_A)} S_\mu v_{-\mu}^* v_{-\mu} S_\mu^*, \qquad v_{-n} v_{-n}^* = \sum_{\mu \in B_n(X_A)} v_{-\mu} v_{-\mu}^*.$$
3. $v_\mu v_\nu^* = v_{-\mu}^* v_{-\nu} = 0$ *for* $\mu, \nu \in B_n(X_A)$ *with* $\mu \neq \nu$.
4. *The algebras* $v_\mu \mathfrak{D}_A v_\mu^*, v_\mu^* \mathfrak{D}_A v_\mu, v_{-\mu} \mathfrak{D}_A v_{-\mu}^*$ *and* $v_{-\mu}^* \mathfrak{D}_A v_{-\mu}$ *are contained in* $\mathfrak{D}_A$.

Proof. Put for $\mu \in B_n(X_A)$,

$$v_\mu = E(S_\mu^* v), \qquad v_{-\mu} = E(v S_\mu).$$

They belong to $\mathcal{F}_A$ and satisfy $S_\mu^* S_\mu v_\mu = v_\mu$ and $v_{-\mu} S_\mu^* S_\mu = v_{-\mu}$. Then we have

$$S_\mu^* v_n = \int_{\mathbb{T}} S_\mu^* \rho_t(v) e^{-\sqrt{-1}nt} dt = E(S_\mu^* v) = v_\mu,$$
$$v_{-n} S_\mu = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \rho_t(v) e^{\sqrt{-1}nt} S_\mu dt = E(v S_\mu) = v_{-\mu}.$$

Hence we have $v_n = \sum_{\mu \in B_n(X_A)} S_\mu v_\mu$ and $v_{-n} = \sum_{\mu \in B_n(X_A)} v_{-\mu} S_\mu^*$. Thus (1) holds. We then have

$$v_\mu^* v_\mu = v_n^* S_\mu S_\mu^* v_n = \hat{g}(n) v^* S_\mu S_\mu^* v \hat{g}(n),$$
$$S_\mu v_\mu v_\mu^* S_\mu^* = S_\mu S_\mu^* v_n v_n^* S_\mu S_\mu^* = S_\mu S_\mu^* v \hat{g}(n) v^* S_\mu S_\mu^*,$$
$$S_\mu v_{-\mu}^* v_{-\mu} S_\mu^* = S_\mu S_\mu^* v_{-n}^* v_{-n} S_\mu S_\mu^* = S_\mu S_\mu^* \hat{g}(-n) S_\mu S_\mu^*,$$
$$v_{-\mu} v_{-\mu}^* = v_{-n} S_\mu S_\mu^* v_{-n}^* = v \hat{g}(-n) S_\mu S_\mu^* \hat{g}(-n) v^*.$$

Since $\hat{g}(n), \hat{g}(-n)$ are projections in $\mathfrak{D}_A$ and $v \mathfrak{D}_A v^* = \mathfrak{D}_A$, the above elements are projections in $\mathfrak{D}_A$ so that (2) and (3) hold. Since

$$v_\mu = S_\mu^* v_n = S_\mu^* v \hat{g}(n), \qquad v_{-\mu} = v_{-n} S_\mu = v \hat{g}(-n) S_\mu$$

the assertion (4) is immediate. □

Let $u \in \mathcal{O}_A$ be a partial isometry satisfying

$$u \mathfrak{D}_A u^* \subset \mathfrak{D}_A, \qquad u^* \mathfrak{D}_A u \subset \mathfrak{D}_A.$$

Put the projections $p_u = u^* u$, $q_u = u u^* \in \mathfrak{D}_A$ and clopen sets $X_u = \operatorname{supp}(p_u)$, $Y_u = \operatorname{supp}(q_u) \subset X_A$. Then $Ad(u) : \mathfrak{D}_A p_u \to \mathfrak{D}_A q_u$ yields an isomorphism and induces a homeomorphism $h_u : X_u \to Y_u$ such that

$$Ad(u)(g) = g \circ {h_u}^{-1} \in \mathfrak{D}_A q_u (= C(Y_u)) \qquad \text{for } g \in \mathfrak{D}_A p_u (= C(X_u)).$$

Lemma 4.4. *Keep the above notation. For* $x \in X_u$*, put* $y = h_u(x) \in Y_u$*. Then we have*

$$\|S^*_{y_{[1,n]}} u S_{x_{[1,n]}}\| = 1 \quad \textit{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Prof. Since

$$\|S^*_{y_{[1,n]}} u S_{x_{[1,n]}}\|^2 = \|S^*_{y_{[1,n]}} u S_{x_{[1,n]}} S^*_{x_{[1,n]}} u^* S_{y_{[1,n]}}\|$$

and $S^*_{y_{[1,n]}} u S_{x_{[1,n]}} S^*_{x_{[1,n]}} u^* S_{y_{[1,n]}}$ is a projection in $\mathfrak{D}_A$, one sees that $\|S^*_{y_{[1,n]}} u S_{x_{[1,n]}}\| = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or $\|S^*_{y_{[1,n]}} u S_{x_{[1,n]}}\| = 0$ for all $n > N_0$ for some N_0. It suffices to show that $S^*_{y_{[1,n]}} u S_{x_{[1,n]}} \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. One then sees that

$$(S^*_{y_{[1,n]}} u S_{x_{[1,n]}} S^*_{x_{[1,n]}} u^* S_{y_{[1,n]}} e_{\sigma_A{}^n(y)} \mid e_{\sigma_A{}^n(y)}) = (Ad(u)(\chi_{U_{x_{[1,n]}}}) e_y \mid e_y),$$

where $\chi_{U_{x_{[1,n]}}}$ denotes the characteristic function on X_A for the cylinder set $U_{x_{[1,n]}}$ of the word $x_{[1,n]}$. As

$$Ad(u)(\chi_{U_{x_{[1,n]}}}) e_y = (\chi_{U_{x_{[1,n]}}} \circ h_u^{-1})(y) e_y = \chi_{U_{x_{[1,n]}}}(x) e_y = e_y,$$

one obtains that

$$(S^*_{y_{[1,n]}} u S_{x_{[1,n]}} S^*_{x_{[1,n]}} u^* S_{y_{[1,n]}} e_{\sigma_A{}^n(y)} \mid e_{\sigma_A{}^n(y)}) = (e_y \mid e_y) = 1$$

so that $S^*_{y_{[1,n]}} u S_{x_{[1,n]}} \neq 0$. $\square$

The following is key

Lemma 4.5. *Keep the above situation. Assume that* $u \in \mathcal{F}_A$*. Then there exists* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that for all* $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_u$

$$y_n = x_n \qquad \textit{for all } n > k$$

where $y = h_u(x)$*.*

Proof. Suppose that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $x \in X_u$ and $N > k$ such that $y_N \neq x_N$. Now $u \in \mathcal{F}_A$ so that take $u_{m_0} \in \mathcal{F}_A^{k_0}$ for some k_0 such that $\|u - u_{m_0}\| < \frac{1}{2}$. Take $x \in X_u$ and $N_0 > k_0$ such as $y_{N_0} \neq x_{N_0}$. Since u_{m_0} belongs to $\mathcal{F}_A^{N_0 - 1}$, it is written as

$$u_{m_0} = \sum_{\xi, \eta \in B_{N_0 - 1}(X_A)} c_{\xi,\eta} S_\xi S_\eta^* \in \mathcal{F}_A^{N_0 - 1} \quad \text{for some } c_{\xi,\eta} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Hence we have

$$S^*_{y_{[1,N_0-1]}} u_{m_0} S_{x_{[1,N_0-1]}} = c_{y_{[1,N_0-1]}, x_{[1,N_0-1]}} S^*_{y_{[1,N_0-1]}} S_{y_{[1,N_0-1]}} S^*_{x_{[1,N_0-1]}} S_{x_{[1,N_0-1]}}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} & S^*_{y_{[1,N_0]}} u_{m_0} S_{x_{[1,N_0]}} \\ = & c_{y_{[1,N_0-1]}, x_{[1,N_0-1]}} S^*_{y_{N_0}} S^*_{y_{[1,N_0-1]}} S_{y_{[1,N_0-1]}} S^*_{x_{[1,N_0-1]}} S_{x_{[1,N_0-1]}} S_{x_{N_0}} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

because $y_{N_0} \neq x_{N_0}$. Hence we have

$$S^*_{y_{[1,n]}} u_{m_0} S_{x_{[1,n]}} = 0 \qquad \text{for } n > N_0.$$

For $n > N_0$, it then follows that

$$\|S^*_{y_{[1,n]}} u S_{x_{[1,n]}}\| = \|S^*_{y_{[1,n]}} (u - u_{m_0}) S_{x_{[1,n]}}\| < \frac{1}{2}.$$

This is a contradiction to the preceding lemma. $\square$

Thus we have

Lemma 4.6. *For a partial isometry $u \in \mathcal{F}_A$ satisfying*

$$u\mathfrak{D}_A u^* \subset \mathfrak{D}_A, \qquad u^*\mathfrak{D}_A u \subset \mathfrak{D}_A,$$

there exists $k_u \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the homeomorphism $h_u : \mathrm{supp}(u^*u) \to \mathrm{supp}(uu^*)$ defined by $Ad(u)(g) = g \circ h_u^{-1}$ for $g \in \mathfrak{D}_A u^*u$ satisfies the condition

$$\sigma_A^{k_u}(h_u(x)) = \sigma_A^{k_u}(x) \qquad \text{for } x \in \mathrm{supp}(u^*u).$$

Therefore we have

Proposition 4.7. *For any $v \in N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$, the homomorphism τ_v induced by the automorphism on $\mathfrak{D}_A$ defined by the restriction to $Ad(v)$ to $\mathfrak{D}_A$ gives rise to an element of the topological full group $[\sigma_A]_c$.*

Proof. For $v \in N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$, let v_m, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the partial isometries in $\mathcal{O}_A$ as in Lemma 4.2. Take $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $v_m = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|m| > K$, and hence $v = \sum_{m=-K}^{K} v_m$. One has

$$Ad(v)(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{K} v_n f v_n^* + v_0 f v_0^* + \sum_{n=1}^{K} v_{-n} f v_{-n}^* \qquad \text{for } f \in \mathfrak{D}_A.$$

As $v_m^* v_m, v_m v_m^*$ are projections in $\mathfrak{D}_A$, we may put clopen sets

$$X_A^{(m)} = \mathrm{supp}(v_m^* v_m), \quad Y_A^{(m)} = \mathrm{supp}(v_m v_m^*) \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } |m| \le K$$

in X_A such that X_A is the disjoint unions $X_A = \cup_{|m|\le K} X_A^{(m)} = \cup_{|m|\le K} Y_A^{(m)}$. Since $v_0 \in \mathcal{F}_A$, by Lemma 4.6, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sigma_A^{k_0}(\tau_0(x)) = \sigma_A^{k_0}(x) \qquad \text{for } x \in X_A^{(0)}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\tau_0 : X_A^{(0)} \longrightarrow Y_A^{(0)}$ is the homeomorphism satisfying $Ad(v_0)(f) = f \circ \tau_0^{-1}$ for $f \in \mathfrak{D}_A v_0^* v_0$. For $v_n, v_{-n}, 1 \le n \le K$, by Lemma 4.3, one has

$$\begin{aligned} v_n f v_n^* &= \sum_{\mu \in B_n(X_A)} S_\mu v_\mu f v_\mu^* S_\mu^*, \\ v_{-n} f v_{-n}^* &= \sum_{\mu \in B_n(X_A)} v_{-\mu} S_\mu^* f S_\mu v_{-\mu}^* \quad \text{for } f \in \mathfrak{D}_A. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$X_A^{(n,\mu)} = \mathrm{supp}(v_\mu^* v_\mu), \qquad X_A^{(-n,\mu)} = \mathrm{supp}(S_\mu v_{-\mu}^* v_{-\mu} S_\mu^*)$$

and

$$Y_A^{(n,\mu)} = \mathrm{supp}(S_\mu v_\mu v_\mu^* S_\mu^*), \qquad Y_A^{(-n,\mu)} = \mathrm{supp}(v_{-\mu} v_{-\mu}^*).$$

By Lemma 4.3, one sees that

$$X_A^{(m)} = \cup_{\mu \in B_{|m|}(X_A)} X_A^{(m,\mu)}, \qquad Y_A^{(m)} = \cup_{\mu \in B_{|m|}(X_A)} Y_A^{(m,\mu)}$$

for $|m| \le K$. There exist homeomorphisms

$$\tau_{(m,\mu)} : X_A^{(m,\mu)} \longrightarrow Y_A^{(m,\mu)} \qquad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } |m| \le K$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} Ad(S_\mu v_\mu)(f) &= f \circ \tau_{(n,\mu)}^{-1} \qquad \text{for } f \in \mathfrak{D}_A v_\mu^* v_\mu, \\ Ad(v_{-\mu} S_\mu^*)(g) &= g \circ \tau_{(-n,\mu)}^{-1} \qquad \text{for } g \in \mathfrak{D}_A S_\mu v_{-\mu}^* v_{-\mu} S_\mu^* \end{aligned}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \le n \le K$. As $v_\mu, v_{-\mu} \in \mathcal{F}_A$, there exist $k_{(n,\mu)}, k_{(-n,\mu)} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_A^{k_{(n,\mu)}}(\tau_{(n,\mu)}(x)) =& \sigma_A^{k_{(n,\mu)}+n}(x) \qquad \text{for } x \in X_A^{(n,\mu)}, \\ \sigma_A^{k_{(n,\mu)}+n}(\tau_{(-n,\mu)}(x)) =& \sigma_A^{k_{(n,\mu)}}(x) \qquad \text{for } x \in X_A^{(-n,\mu)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since we have

$$\tau_v(x) = \begin{cases} \tau_{(n,\mu)}(x) & \text{for } x \in X_A^{(n,\mu)}, \\ \tau_0(x) & \text{for } x \in X_A^{(0)}, \\ \tau_{(-n,\mu)}(x) & \text{for } x \in X_A^{(-n,\mu)} \end{cases}$$

and X_A is the disjoint unions

$$\begin{aligned} X_A &= X_A^{(0)} \bigcup \cup_{1 \le |m| \le K} \cup_{\mu \in B_{|m|}(X_A)} X_A^{(m,\mu)} \\ &= Y_A^{(0)} \bigcup \cup_{1 \le |m| \le K} \cup_{\mu \in B_{|m|}(X_A)} Y_A^{(m,\mu)} \end{aligned}$$

of clopen sets $X_A^{(0)}, X_A^{(m,\mu)}$ and $Y_A^{(0)}, Y_A^{(m,\mu)}$ for $1 \le |m| \le K, \mu \in B_{|m|}(X_A)$, we conclude $\tau_v \in [\sigma_A]_c$. □

The unitaries $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)$ are naturally embedded into $N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$. We denote the embedding by id. For $v \in N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$, the induced homemorphism on X_A is denoted by τ_v, that gives rise to an element of $[\sigma_A]_c$ by the above proposition. We then have

Theorem 4.8. *The sequence*

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A) \xrightarrow{\text{id}} N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) \xrightarrow{\tau} [\sigma_A]_c \longrightarrow 1$$

is exact and splits.

Proof. By Proposition 4.7, the map $\tau : v \in N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) \longrightarrow \tau_v \in [\sigma_A]_c$ defines a homomorphism. It is surjective by Proposition 4.1. Suppose that $\tau_v = \text{id}$ on $\mathfrak{D}_A$ for some $v \in N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$. This means that $Ad(v) = \text{id}$ on $\mathfrak{D}_A$. Hence v commutes with all of elements of $\mathfrak{D}_A$. By Lemma 2.1, v belongs to $\mathfrak{D}_A$. Therefore the sequence is exact. As in Proposition 4.1, for $\tau \in [\sigma_A]_c$, the unitary u_τ defined by setting $u_\tau e_x = e_{\tau(x)}$, $x \in X_A$ gives rise to a section of the exact sequence. Hence the sequence splits. □

5. ORBIT EQUIVALENCE

Definition. Let (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) be topological Markov shifts. If there exists a homeomorphism $h : X_A \to X_B$ such that $h(orb_{\sigma_A}(x)) = orb_{\sigma_B}(h(x))$ for $x \in X_A$, then (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are said to be orbit equivalent. In this case, for $x \in X_A$, $h(\sigma_A(x)) \in orb_{\sigma_B}(h(x))$ so that $h(\sigma_A(x)) \in \cup_{k=0}^{\infty} \cup_{l=0}^{\infty} \sigma_B^{-k} \sigma_B^{l}(h(x))$. Hence there exist $k_1, l_1 : X_A \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\sigma_B^{k_1(x)}(h(\sigma_A(x))) = \sigma_B^{l_1(x)}(h(x))$. Similarly there exist $k_2, l_2 : X_B \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\sigma_A^{k_2(y)}(h^{-1}(\sigma_B(y))) = \sigma_A^{l_2(y)}(h^{-1}(y))$.

We say that (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are *topologically orbit equivalent* if there exists a homeomorphism $h : X_A \to X_B$ such that $h(orb_{\sigma_A}(x)) = orb_{\sigma_B}(h(x))$ for $x \in X_A$ and there exist continuous maps $k_1, l_1 : X_A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $k_2, l_2 : X_B \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$(5.1) \quad \sigma_B^{k_1(x)}(h(\sigma_A(x))) = \sigma_B^{l_1(x)}(h(x)), \qquad \sigma_A^{k_2(y)}(h^{-1}(\sigma_B(y))) = \sigma_A^{l_2(y)}(h^{-1}(y))$$

for $x \in X_A$ and $y \in X_B$.

Example. Let $A_{[2]} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. The subshift X_F is the set of all sequences $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $1, 2$ such that the word $(2, 2)$ is forbidden. Define a homeomorphism $h : X_F \longrightarrow X_{A_{[2]}}$ by substituing the word $(2, 1)$ to the word 2 from the leftmost in order such as

$$\begin{aligned} & h(1,2,1,1,2,1,2,1,1,1,1,2,1,1,1,2,1,1,1,1,1,2,1,1,1,\dots) \\ =& (1,2,1,2,2,1,1,1,2,1,1,2,1,1,1,1,2,1,1,\dots) \in X_{A_{[2]}}. \end{aligned}$$

Put for $i = 1, 2$

$$\begin{aligned} U_{F,i} &= \{x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_{A_{[2]}} \mid x_1 = i\}, \\ U_{A_{[2]},i} &= \{y = (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_{A_{[2]}} \mid y_1 = i\}. \end{aligned}$$

By setting

$$\begin{cases} k_1(x) = 0,\ l_1(x) = 1 & \text{for } x \in U_{F,1} \\ k_1(x) = 1,\ l_1(x) = 1 & \text{for } x \in U_{F,2}, \end{cases} \qquad \begin{cases} k_2(y) = 0,\ l_2(y) = 1 & \text{for } y \in U_{A_{[2]},1} \\ k_2(y) = 0,\ l_2(y) = 2 & \text{for } y \in U_{A_{[2]},2}, \end{cases}$$

one knows that (X_F, σ_F) and $(X_{A_{[2]}}, \sigma_{A_{[2]}})$ are topologically orbit equivalent.

The following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 5.1. *If $h : X_A \to X_B$ is a homeomorphism satisfying $\sigma_B^{k(x)}(h(\sigma_A(x))) = \sigma_B^{l(x)}(h(x)), x \in X_A$ for some continous maps $k, l : X_A \to \mathbb{Z}_+$, then by putting*

$$k^n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} k(\sigma_A^i(x)), \qquad l^n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} l(\sigma_A^i(x))$$

we have

$$\sigma_B^{k^n(x)}(h(\sigma_A^n(x))) = \sigma_B^{l^n(x)}(h(x)), \qquad x \in X_A, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proposition 5.2. *If there exists a homeomorphism $h : X_A \longrightarrow X_B$ such that $h \circ [\sigma_A]_c \circ h^{-1} = [\sigma_B]_c$, then (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are topologically orbit equivalent.*

Proof. Assume that $h \circ [\sigma_A]_c \circ h^{-1} = [\sigma_B]_c$. For any $y \in X_B$, put $x = h^{-1}(y)$ so that $h([\sigma_A]_c(x)) = [\sigma_B]_c(h(x))$. By Lemma 3.3, we have $[\sigma_A]_c(x) = orb_{\sigma_A}(x)$ and $[\sigma_B]_c(h(x)) = orb_{\sigma_B}(h(x))$ so that $h([\sigma_A]_c(x)) = orb_{\sigma_B}(h(x))$.

We will next show that there exist continuous cocycle functions for h. By Lemma 3.2, For any $\mu \in B_2(X_A)$, there exist $\tau_\mu \in [\sigma_A]_c$ and $k_{\tau_\mu}, l_{\tau_\mu} : X_A \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfying (3.2). Put $\tau_h = h \circ \tau_\mu \circ h^{-1} \in h \circ [\sigma_A]_c \circ h^{-1} = [\sigma_B]_c$. For $x \in U_\mu$, one has $h(\sigma_A(x)) = \tau_h(h(x))$. As $\tau_h \in [\sigma_B]_c$, one may find $k^\mu_{\tau_h}, l^\mu_{\tau_h} : X_B \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\sigma_B^{k^\mu_{\tau_h}(y)}(\tau_h(y)) = \sigma_B^{l^\mu_{\tau_h}(y)}(y)$. For $y \in h(U_\mu)$, put $x = h^{-1}(y)$ and hence

$$\sigma_B^{k^\mu_{\tau_h}(h(x))}(h \circ \sigma_A(x)) = \sigma_B^{l^\mu_{\tau_h}(h(x))}(h(x)) \qquad \text{for } x \in U_\mu.$$

Let $\{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(M)}\}$ be the set $B_2(X_A)$ of all admissible words of length 2. Define $k_1^h, l_1^h : X_A \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ by setting

$$k_1^h(x) = k^{\mu^{(i)}}_{\tau_h}(h(x)), \quad l_1^h(x) = l^{\mu^{(i)}}_{\tau_h}(h(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in U_{\mu^{(i)}}.$$

They are continuous and satisfy

$$\sigma_B^{k_1^h(x)}(h \circ \sigma_A(x)) = \sigma_B^{l_1^h(x)}(h(x)) \qquad \text{for } x \in X_A.$$

Similarly there exist continious maps $k_2^h, l_2^h : X_B \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\sigma_A^{k_2^h(y)}(h^{-1} \circ \sigma_B(y)) = \sigma_A^{l_2^h(y)}(h^{-1}(y)) \qquad \text{for } y \in X_B.$$

Hence (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are topologically orbit equivalent.

Conversely we have

Proposition 5.3. *If (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are topologically orbit equivalent, then there exists a homeomorphism $h : X_A \longrightarrow X_B$ such that $h \circ [\sigma_A]_c \circ h^{-1} = [\sigma_B]_c$.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a homeomorphism $h : X_A \to X_B$ such that $h(orb_{\sigma_A}(x)) = orb_{\sigma_B}(h(x))$ for $x \in X_A$ and there exist continuous maps $k_1, l_1 : X_A \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $k_2, l_2 : X_B \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfying (5.1). For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $k_1^n, l_1^n : X_A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $k_2^n, l_2^n : X_B \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ be continuous maps as in Lemma 5.1 such that

$$\sigma_B^{k_1^n(x)}(h(\sigma_A^n(x)) = \sigma_B^{l_1^n(x)}(h(x)), \qquad \sigma_A^{k_2^n(y)}(h^{-1}(\sigma_B^n(y)) = \sigma_A^{l_2^n(y)}(h^{-1}(y)) \tag{5.2}$$

for $x \in X_A$ and $y \in X_B$. For any $\tau \in [\sigma_A]_c$, there exist continuous maps: $k_\tau, l_\tau : X_A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\sigma_A^{k_\tau(x)}(\tau(x)) = \sigma_A^{l_\tau(x)}(x) \qquad x \in X_A. \tag{5.3}$$

For $y \in X_B$, put $x = h^{-1}(y)$. We set $m = k_\tau(x) \in \mathbb{N}$. By (5.2) and (5.3), one has

$$\sigma_B^{l_1^m(\tau(x))}(h(\tau(x)) = \sigma_B^{k_1^m(\tau(x))}(h(\sigma_A^m(\tau(x))) = \sigma_B^{k_1^m(\tau(x))}(h(\sigma_A^{l_\tau(x)}(x))$$

We set $n = l_\tau(x) \in \mathbb{N}$. By applying $\sigma_B^{k_1^n(x)}$ to the above equality, one has by (5.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma_B^{k_1^n(x)+l_1^m(\tau(x))}(h(\tau(x)) \\
=&\sigma_B^{k_1^m(\tau(x))}\sigma_B^{k_1^n(x)}(h(\sigma_A^n(x))) = \sigma_B^{k_1^m(\tau(x))}\sigma_B^{l_1^n(x)}(h(x)) = \sigma_B^{k_1^m(\tau(x))+l_1^n(x)}(h(x))
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\sigma_B^{k_1^n(x)+l_1^m(\tau(x))}(h \circ \tau \circ h^{-1}(y)) = \sigma_B^{k_1^m(\tau(x))+l_1^n(x)}(h(x)).$$

By putting

$$\begin{aligned}
k_\tau^h(y) &= k_1^n(x) + l_1^m(\tau(x)) = k_1^{l_\tau(h^{-1}(y))}(h^{-1}(y)) + l_1^{k_\tau(h^{-1}(y)}(\tau(h^{-1}(y))), \\
l_\tau^h(y) &= k_1^m(\tau(x)) + l_1^n(x) = k_1^{k_\tau(h^{-1}(y))}(\tau(h^{-1}(y))) + l_1^{l_\tau(h^{-1}(y)}(h^{-1}(y)),
\end{aligned}$$

one has

$$\sigma_B^{k_\tau^h(y)}(h \circ \tau \circ h^{-1}(y)) = \sigma_B^{l_\tau^h(y)}(h(x)) \qquad \text{for all } y \in X_B$$

so that $h \circ \tau \circ h^{-1} \in [\sigma_B]_c$ and $h \circ [\sigma_A]_c \circ h^{-1} \subset [\sigma_B]_c$. Similarly we have $h^{-1} \circ [\sigma_B]_c \circ h \subset [\sigma_A]_c$ and conclude $h \circ [\sigma_A]_c \circ h^{-1} = [\sigma_B]_c$. $\square$

Proposition 5.4. *If there exists an isomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{O}_A \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_B$ such that $\Psi(\mathfrak{D}_A) = \mathfrak{D}_B$, then there exists a homeomorphism $h : X_A \longrightarrow X_B$ such that $h \circ [\sigma_A]_c \circ h^{-1} = [\sigma_B]_c$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.8, there exists an isomorphism $\widetilde{\Psi} : [\sigma_A]_c \longrightarrow [\sigma_B]_c$ of group such that the following diagrams are commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] \arrow[d, "\Psi|_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)}"] & N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) \arrow[r, "\tau"] \arrow[d, "\Psi"] & {[\sigma_A]_c} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{\Psi}"] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & U(\mathfrak{D}_B) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] & N(\mathcal{O}_B, \mathfrak{D}_B) \arrow[r, "\tau"] & {[\sigma_B]_c} \arrow[r] & 1.
\end{tikzcd}$$

For any $v \in N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$, put $Ad(v)(f) = vfv^*$ for $f \in \mathfrak{D}_A$. We identify $\mathfrak{D}_A$ with $C(X_A)$ in natural way. Let $\tau_v \in \mathrm{Homeo}(X_A)$ be the homeomorphism on X_A satisfying $Ad(v)(f) = f \circ \tau_v^{-1}$ for $f \in \mathfrak{D}_A$. The identity

$$\Psi \circ Ad(v) \circ \Psi^{-1} = Ad(\Psi(v)) \qquad \text{for } v \in N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) \tag{5.4}$$

holds. Let $h : X_A \longrightarrow X_B$ be the homeomorphism satisfying $\Psi(f) = f \circ h^{-1}$ for $f \in \mathfrak{D}_A$. As $\Psi : N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) \longrightarrow N(\mathcal{O}_B, \mathfrak{D}_B)$ is an isomorphism and $\{\tau_v \mid v \in N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)\} = [\sigma_A]_c$, (5.4) implies that $h \circ [\sigma_A]_c \circ h^{-1} = [\sigma_B]_c$. $\square$

Proposition 5.5. *If (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are topologically orbit equivalent, then there exists an isomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{O}_A \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_B$ such that $\Psi(\mathfrak{D}_A) = \mathfrak{D}_B$.*

Proof. The proof is essentially same as the proof of Proposition 4.1. For the sake of completeness, we will give a complete proof for this proposition. Let $h : X_A \to X_B$ be a homeomorphism giving rise to topologically orbit equivalence (X_A, σ_A) and

(X_B, σ_B). Represent $\mathcal{O}_A$ on $\mathfrak{H}_A$ and $\mathcal{O}_B$ on $\mathfrak{H}_B$ as usual respectively. We will prove that there exists a unitary $u_h : \mathfrak{H}_A \to \mathfrak{H}_B$ such that

$$Ad(u_h)(\mathcal{O}_A) = \mathcal{O}_B, \quad Ad(u_h)(\mathfrak{D}_A) = \mathfrak{D}_B \quad \text{ and } Ad(u_h)(f) = f \circ h^{-1}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{D}_A.$$

Since $h : X_A \to X_B$ gives rise to a topological orbit equivalence between (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B), there exist continuous maps $k_1, l_1, k_2, l_2 : X_A \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfying (5.1). We denote by $e_x^A : x \in X_A$ and $e_x^B : x \in X_B$ the complete orthonomal systems on $\mathfrak{H}_A$ and $\mathfrak{H}_B$ coming from the shift spaces respectively. Define the unitary $u_h : \mathfrak{H}_A \longrightarrow \mathfrak{H}_B$ by setting $u_h e_x^A = e_{h(x)}^B$ for $x \in X_A$. We will first prove that $Ad(u_h)(\mathcal{O}_A) = \mathcal{O}_B$. We denote by S_i^A and S_i^B the canonical generating partial isometries for S_i in $\mathcal{O}_A$ and in $\mathcal{O}_B$ respectively. For $y \in X_B$, one has

$$u_h S_i^A u_h^* e_y^B = \begin{cases} e_{h(ih^{-1}(y))}^B & \text{if } ih^{-1}(y) \in X_A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We set $X_B^{(i)} = \{ y \in X_B \mid ih^{-1}(y) \in X_A \}$. Put $z = ih^{-1}(y) \in X_A$. As $h(\sigma_A(z)) = y$, by (5.1) one has $h(z) \in \sigma_B^{-l_1(z)}(\sigma_B^{k_1(z)}(y))$. Hence $h(z) = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{l_1(z)}(z), y_{k_1(z)+1}, y_{k_2(z)+1}, \dots)$ for some $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{l_1(z)}(z) \in B_{l_1(z)}(X_B)$. Since both the maps $k_1, l_1 : X_A \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ and the map $y \longrightarrow z = ih^{-1}(y)$ are continuous, there exist numbers

$$\tilde{k}_1 = \max\{ k_1(z) \mid y \in X_B^{(i)} \}, \qquad \tilde{l}_1 = \max\{ l_1(z) \mid y \in X_B^{(i)} \}.$$

The set $\{ (\mu_1(z), \dots, \mu_{l_1(z)}(z)) \in B_{l_1(z)}(X_B) \mid y \in X_B^{(i)} \}$ of words is a finite subset of $W_{\tilde{l}_1}(X_B) = \cup_{j=0}^{\tilde{l}_1} B_j(X_B)$. As the map $y \in X_B^{(i)} \to (\mu_1(z), \dots, \mu_{l_1(z)}(z)) \in W_{\tilde{l}_1}(X_B)$ is continuous, where $W_{\tilde{l}_1}(X_B)$ is endowed with discrete topology, for a word $\nu = \nu_1 \cdots \nu_j \in W_{\tilde{l}_1}(X_B)$, the set

$$E_\nu = \{ y \in X_B \mid \mu_1(z) = \nu_1, \dots, \mu_{l_1(z)}(z) = \nu_j \} \quad \text{where } z = ih^{-1}(y)$$

is clopen in $X_B^{(i)}$. For $0 \le n \le \tilde{k}_1$, we put

$$F_n = \{ y \in X_B \mid k_1(z) = n \}$$

that is clopen in X_B. We set

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\nu &= \chi_{E_\nu} \in \mathfrak{D}_B \quad \text{for } \nu \in W_{\tilde{l}_1}(X_B), \\ P_n &= \chi_{F_n} \in \mathfrak{D}_B \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

We put the projection $P^{(i)} = \chi_{X_B^{(i)}} \in \mathfrak{D}_B$. Since we have disjoint unions

$$X_B^{(i)} = \cup_{\nu \in W_{\tilde{l}_1}(X_B)} E_\nu = \cup_{n=0}^{\tilde{k}_1} F_n,$$

we have

$$P^{(i)} = \sum_{\nu \in W_{\tilde{l}_1}(X_B)} Q_\nu = \sum_{n=0}^{\tilde{k}_1} P_n.$$

For $y \in X_B^{(i)}$ and $\nu \in W_{\tilde{l}_1}(X_B), 0 \le n \le \tilde{k}_1$, we have $y \in E_\nu \cap F_n$ if and only if $h(ih^{-1}(y)) = \nu\sigma_B^n(y)$, and the later condition is equivalent to the condition that $e^B_{h(ih^{-1}(y))} = S_\nu^B e^B_{\sigma_B^n(y)}$. Since $y \in E_\nu \cap F_n$ if and only if $P_n Q_\nu e_y^B = e_y^B$ and $e^B_{\sigma_B^n(y)} = \sum_{\xi \in B_n(X_B)} S_\xi^{B*} e_y^B$, we have for $y \in X_B^{(i)}$,

$$e^B_{h(ih^{-1}(y))} = \sum_{n=0}^{\tilde{k}_1} \sum_{\nu \in W_{\tilde{l}_1}(X_B)} (S_\nu^B \sum_{\xi \in B_n(X_B)} S_\xi^{B*}) P_n Q_\nu e_y^B.$$

Hence

$$u_h S_i^A u_h^* e_y^B = \sum_{n=0}^{\tilde{k}_1} \sum_{\nu \in W_{\tilde{l}_1}(X_B)} (S_\nu^B \sum_{\xi \in B_n(X_B)} S_\xi^{B*}) P_n Q_\nu e_y^B \qquad \text{for } y \in X_B^{(i)}.$$

Therefore we have

$$u_h S_i^A u_h^* = \sum_{n=0}^{\tilde{k}_1} \sum_{\nu \in W_{\tilde{l}_1}(X_B)} (S_\nu^B \sum_{\xi \in B_n(X_B)} S_\xi^{B*}) P_n Q_\nu P^{(i)}.$$

As $P_n, Q_\nu, P^{(i)}$ are projections in $\mathfrak{D}_B$, we have $Ad(u_h)(S_i^A) \subset \mathcal{O}_B$ so that $Ad(u_h)(\mathcal{O}_A) \subset \mathcal{O}_B$. Since $u_h^* = u_{h^{-1}}$, we symmetrically have $Ad(u_h^*)(\mathcal{O}_B) \subset \mathcal{O}_A$ so that $Ad(u_h)(\mathcal{O}_A) = \mathcal{O}_B$.

It is direct to see that $Ad(u_h)(f) = f \circ h^{-1}$ for $f \in \mathfrak{D}_A$ so that we have $Ad(u_h)(\mathfrak{D}_A) = \mathfrak{D}_B$. □

Therefore we have

Theorem 5.6. *Let A, B be square matrices with entries in $\{0,1\}$. The following three assertions are equivalent:*

1. *There exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{O}_A \to \mathcal{O}_B$ such that $\Phi(\mathfrak{D}_A) = \mathfrak{D}_B$.*
2. *(X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are topologically orbit equivalent.*
3. *There exists a homeomorphism $h : X_A \to X_B$ such that $h \circ [\sigma_A]_c \circ h^{-1} = [\sigma_B]_c$.*

6. NORMALIZERS OF THE FULL GROUPS AND AUTOMORPHISMS OF $\mathcal{O}_A$

In this section, we will study the normalizer subgroup

$$N([\sigma_A]_c) = \{\varphi \in \mathrm{Homeo}(X_A) \mid \varphi \circ \tau \circ \varphi^{-1} \in [\sigma_A]_c \text{ for all } \tau \in [\sigma_A]_c\}$$

of $[\sigma_A]_c$ in $\mathrm{Homeo}(X_A)$, related to the automorphisms $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} N[\sigma_A] =& \{h \in \mathrm{Homeo}(X_A) \mid h(orb_{\sigma_A}(x)) = orb_{\sigma_A}(h(x)) \text{ for } x \in X_A\}, \\ N_c[\sigma_A] =& \{h \in N[\sigma_A]) \mid \text{there exist continuous map } k_1, l_1, k_2, l_2 : X_A \to \mathbb{Z}_+ \\ & \quad \text{such that } \sigma_A^{k_1(x)}(h(\sigma_A(x))) = \sigma_A^{l_1(x)}(h(x)), \\ & \qquad \sigma_A^{k_2(x)}(h^{-1}(\sigma_A(x))) = \sigma_A^{l_2(x)}(h^{-1}(x)) \text{ for } x \in X_A\} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6.1. *$N_c[\sigma_A]$ is a subgroup of $N[\sigma_A]$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that for $\varphi, \psi \in N_c[\sigma_A]$, the composition $\psi \circ \varphi$ belongs to $N_c[\sigma_A]$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, take continuous maps $k^n_{1,\varphi}$, $l^n_{1,\varphi}$, $k^n_{1,\psi}$, $l^n_{1,\psi} : X_A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\sigma_A^{k^n_{1,\varphi}(x)}(\varphi(\sigma_A^n(x)) = \sigma_A^{l^n_{1,\varphi}(x)}(\varphi(x)) \tag{6.1}$$

$$\sigma_A^{k^n_{1,\psi}(x)}(\psi(\sigma_A^n(x)) = \sigma_A^{l^n_{1,\psi}(x)}(\psi(x)) \tag{6.2}$$

As in Lemma 5.1, we write $k^n_{1,\varphi}$, $l^n_{1,\varphi}$, $k^n_{1,\psi}$, $l^n_{1,\psi}$ as k^n_φ, l^n_φ, k^n_ψ, l^n_ψ respectively. By (6.2) for $\varphi(\sigma_A(x))$ as x, one has

$$\sigma_A^{k^n_\psi(\varphi(\sigma_A(x)))}(\psi(\sigma_A^n(\varphi(\sigma_A(x))))) = \sigma_A^{l^n_\psi(\varphi(\sigma_A(x)))}(\psi(\varphi(\sigma_A(x)))).$$

Put $n = k^1_\varphi(x)$ and $m = l^1_\varphi(x)$. By (6.1) for $n = 1$, one has $\sigma_A^n(\varphi(\sigma_A(x))) = \sigma_A^m(\varphi(x))$ so that

$$\sigma_A^{k^n_\psi(\varphi(\sigma_A(x)))}(\psi(\sigma_A^m(\varphi(x)))) = \sigma_A^{l^n_\psi(\varphi(\sigma_A(x)))}(\psi(\varphi(\sigma_A(x))))$$

and hence

$$\sigma_A^{k^n_\psi(\varphi(\sigma_A(x)))}\sigma_A^{k^m_\psi(\varphi(x))}(\psi(\sigma_A^m(\varphi(x)))) = \sigma_A^{k^m_\psi(\varphi(x))+l^n_\psi(\varphi(\sigma_A(x)))}(\psi(\varphi(\sigma_A(x)))).$$

By (6.2) we have

$$\sigma_A^{k^n_\psi(\varphi(\sigma_A(x)))}\sigma_A^{l^m_\psi(\varphi(x))}(\psi(\varphi(x))) = \sigma_A^{k^m_\psi(\varphi(x))+l^n_\psi(\varphi(\sigma_A(x)))}(\psi(\varphi(\sigma_A(x)))).$$

By putting

$$k_{\psi\varphi}(x) = k^m_\psi(\varphi(x)) + l^n_\psi(\varphi(\sigma_A(x))), \quad l_{\psi\varphi}(x) = l^m_\psi(\varphi(x)) + k^n_\psi(\varphi(\sigma_A(x))),$$

where $n = k^1_\varphi(x), m = l^1_\varphi(x)$. The maps $k_{\psi\varphi}, l_{\psi\varphi} : X_A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ are continuous and satisfy

$$\sigma_A^{k_{\psi\varphi}(x)}(\psi\varphi(\sigma_A(x))) = \sigma_A^{l_{\psi\varphi}(x)}(\psi\varphi(x)).$$

Similarly, we may find continuous maps $k_{\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}}, l_{\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}} : X_A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ that satisfy

$$\sigma_A^{k_{\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}}(x)}(\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(\sigma_A(x))) = \sigma_A^{l_{\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}}(x)}(\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(x)).$$

Therefore we know $\psi \circ \varphi \in N_c[\sigma_A]$. $\square$

Lemma 6.2. *$N_c[\sigma_A] = N([\sigma_A]_c)$.*

Proof. For $\varphi \in N_c[\sigma_A]$ and $\tau \in [\sigma_A]_c$, we will first prove that $\varphi \circ \tau \circ \varphi^{-1} \in [\sigma_A]_c$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, take continuous maps k^n_φ, l^n_φ, $k^n_{\varphi^{-1}}$, $l^n_{\varphi^{-1}} : X_A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfying

$$\sigma_A^{k^n_\varphi(x)}(\varphi(\sigma_A^n(x)) = \sigma_A^{l^n_\varphi(x)}(\varphi(x)) \tag{6.3}$$

$$\sigma_A^{k^n_{\varphi^{-1}}(x)}(\varphi^{-1}(\sigma_A^n(x)) = \sigma_A^{l^n_{\varphi^{-1}}(x)}(\varphi^{-1}(x)) \tag{6.4}$$

for all $x \in X_A$. For $\tau \in [\sigma_A]_c$, let $k_\tau : X_A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ be a continuous map satisfying (3.1). By (6.3) one has

$$\sigma_A^{k_\varphi^n(\tau\varphi^{-1}(x))}(\varphi(\sigma_A^n(\tau\varphi^{-1}(x)))) = \sigma_A^{l_\varphi^n(\tau\varphi^{-1}(x))}(\varphi(\tau\varphi^{-1}(x))).$$

Put $y = \varphi^{-1}(x), n = k_\tau(y), m = l_\tau(y)$. By (3.1), one has $\sigma_A^n(\tau(y)) = \sigma_A^m(y))$ so that we have

$$\sigma_A^{l_\varphi^n(\tau(y))}(\varphi(\tau(y))) = \sigma_A^{k_\varphi^n(\tau(y))}(\varphi(\sigma_A^m(y))).$$

By applying $\sigma_A^{k_\varphi^m(y)}$ to the above equality, one has by (6.3)

$$\sigma_A^{k_\varphi^m(y)+l_\varphi^n(\tau(y))}(\varphi(\tau(y)) = \sigma_A^{k_\varphi^n(\tau(y))}\sigma_A^{k_\varphi^m(y)}(\varphi(\sigma_A^m(y))) = \sigma_A^{k_\varphi^n(\tau(y))}\sigma_A^{l_\varphi^m(y)}(\varphi(y)).$$

Put

$$k_{\varphi\tau\varphi^{-1}}(x) = k_\varphi^m(y) + l_\varphi^n(\tau(y)), \quad l_{\varphi\tau\varphi^{-1}}(x) = k_\varphi^n(\tau(y)) + l_\varphi^m(y),$$

where $y = \varphi^{-1}(x), n = k_\tau(y), m = l_\tau(y)$. The maps $k_{\varphi\tau\varphi^{-1}}, l_{\varphi\tau\varphi^{-1}} : X_A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ are continuous and satisfy

$$\sigma_A^{k_{\varphi\tau\varphi^{-1}}(x)}(\varphi(\tau(\varphi^{-1}(x)) = \sigma_A^{l_{\varphi\tau\varphi^{-1}}(x)}(x).$$

Hence $\varphi \circ \tau \circ \varphi^{-1} \in [\sigma_A]_c$ so that $\varphi \in N([\sigma_A]_c)$.

We will next prove the other inclusion relation $N_c[\sigma_A]) \supset N([\sigma_A]_c)$. For $\varphi \in N([\sigma_A]_c)$ one has $\varphi \circ [\sigma_A]_c \circ \varphi^{-1}(y) = [\sigma_A]_c(y)$ for all $y \in X_A$. Put $x = \varphi^{-1}(y)$. By Lemma 3.4, one sees that

$$\varphi(orb_{\sigma_A}(x)) = [\sigma_A]_c(\varphi(x)) = orb_{\sigma_A}(\varphi(x)).$$

Let $\{\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(M)}\}$ be the set $B_2(X_A)$ of all admissible words of length 2. For each word $\mu^{(i)}$, Lemma 3.2 shows that there exists $\tau_i \in [\sigma_A]_c$ and continuous maps there exist $k^{(i)}, l^{(i)} : X_A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\tau_i(y) = \sigma_A(y) \quad \text{for } y \in U_{\mu^{(i)}}, \quad \sigma_A^{k^{(i)}(z)}(\tau_i(z)) = \sigma_A^{l^{(i)}(z)}(z) \quad \text{for } z \in X_A.$$

Put $\tau_\varphi^{(i)} = \varphi \circ \tau_i \circ \varphi^{-1}$ that belongs to $[\sigma_A]_c$. We then have

$$\varphi \circ \sigma_A(y) = \tau_\varphi^{(i)}(\varphi(y)) \quad \text{for } y \in U_{\mu^{(i)}}.$$

As $\tau_\varphi^{(i)} \in [\sigma_A]_c$ we may find continuous maps $k_{\tau_\varphi^{(i)}}, l_{\tau_\varphi^{(i)}} : X_A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\sigma_A^{k_{\tau_\varphi^{(i)}}(z)}(\tau_\varphi^{(i)}(z)) = \sigma_A^{l_{\tau_\varphi^{(i)}}(z)}(z) \quad \text{for } z \in X_A.$$

Hence we have

$$\sigma_A^{k_{\tau_\varphi^{(i)}}(y)}(\varphi \circ \sigma_A(y)) = \sigma_A^{l_{\tau_\varphi^{(i)}}(y)}(\varphi(y)) \quad \text{for } y \in U_{\mu^{(i)}}.$$

Define $k_1^\varphi, l_1^\varphi : X_A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ by setting

$$k_1^\varphi(y) = k_{\tau_\varphi^{(i)}}(y), \quad l_1^\varphi(y) = l_{\tau_\varphi^{(i)}}(y) \quad \text{for } y \in U_{\mu^{(i)}}.$$

Since $U_{\mu^{(i)}}$ is clopen and X_A is disjoint union $\cup_{i=1}^M U_{\mu^{(i)}}$, the maps k_1^φ, l_1^φ are both continuous and satisfy

$$\sigma_A^{k_1^\varphi(y)}(\varphi \circ \sigma_A(y)) = \sigma_A^{l_1^\varphi(y)}(\varphi(y)) \quad \text{for } y \in X_A.$$

Similarly we may find continuous maps $k_2^\varphi, l_2^\varphi : X_A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ that satisfy

$$\sigma_A^{k_2^\varphi(y)}(\varphi^{-1} \circ \sigma_A(x)) = \sigma_A^{l_2^\varphi(y)}(\varphi^{-1}(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in X_A,$$

so that $\varphi \in N_c[\sigma_A]$. Therefore we have $N_c[\sigma_A] \supset N([\sigma_A]_c)$ and hence $N_c[\sigma_A] = N([\sigma_A]_c)$. $\square$

Proposition 6.3. *For a homeomorphism $h \in N_c([\sigma_A])$ there exists an automorphism $\alpha_h \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ such that $\alpha_h(f) = f \circ h^{-1}$ for $f \in \mathfrak{D}_A$, and the correspondence $h \in N_c([\sigma_A]) \to \alpha_h \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ is a homomorphism.*

Proof. Since a homomorphism $h \in N_c([\sigma_A])$ gives rise to a topological orbit equivalence on (X_A, σ_A), the assertion follows from Proposition 5.5 and its proof. $\square$

Conversely for any automorphism $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$, we denote by ϕ_α the homeomorphism on X_A induced by the restriction of α to $\mathfrak{D}_A$ such that $\alpha(f) = f \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1}$ for $f \in \mathfrak{D}_A$. We then have

Proposition 6.4. *ϕ_α belongs to $N([\sigma_A]_c)$.*

Proof. For $\tau \in [\sigma_A]_c$, we will prove that $\phi_\alpha \circ \tau \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1} \in [\sigma_A]_c$. Let $u_\tau \in N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ be the unitary constructed in Proposition 4.1, such that $Ad(u_\tau)(f) = f \circ \tau^{-1}$ for $f \in \mathfrak{D}_A$. Since $Ad(\alpha(u_\tau)) = \alpha \circ Ad(u_\tau) \circ \alpha^{-1}$ on $\mathcal{O}_A$, the condition $\alpha(\mathfrak{D}_A) = \mathfrak{D}_A$ implies $\alpha(u_\tau) \in N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$. One then sees that

$$Ad(\alpha(u_\tau))(f) = \alpha \circ Ad(u_\tau) \circ \alpha^{-1}(f) = f \circ (\phi_\alpha \circ \tau^{-1} \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1}).$$

Since the homeomorphism $\tau_{\alpha(u_\tau)}$ defined by $\alpha(u_\tau) \in N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ belongs to $[\sigma_A]_c$ and satisfies $Ad(\alpha(u_\tau))(f) = f \circ \tau_{\alpha(u_\tau)}^{-1}$, one concludes that

$$\tau_{\alpha(u_\tau)}^{-1} = (\phi_\alpha \circ \tau^{-1} \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1})^{-1} = \phi_\alpha \circ \tau \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1}$$

that belongs to $[\sigma_A]_c$. $\square$

We denote by $\varphi_A : \mathfrak{D}_A \to \mathfrak{D}_A$ the homomorphism defined by

$$\varphi_A(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i a S_i^* \qquad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{D}_A.$$

In regarding $\mathfrak{D}_A$ as $C(X_A)$ as usual, one sees $\varphi_A(f) = f \circ \sigma_A$ for $f \in C(X_A)$. A unitary one-cocycle $U : \mathbb{Z}_+ \to \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)$ for φ_A is a $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)$-valued function on $\mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfying

$$U(k+l) = U(k)\varphi_A^k(U(l), \qquad k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \quad (cf.[Ma2]).$$

Let $Z^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A))$ be the set of all unitary one-cocycles for φ_A, that is an abelian group in natural way. As in [Ma2] (cf. [C2], [KT]), For $U \in Z^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A))$, put

$$\lambda(U)(S_\mu) = U(k)S_\mu \qquad \text{for } \mu \in B_k(X_A).$$

Then $\lambda(U)$ gives rise to an automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_A$ such that $\lambda(U)|_{\mathfrak{D}_A} = \mathrm{id}$. We note that the correspondence $U \in Z^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)) \to U(1) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)$ yeilds an isomorphism of abelian group, and hence we may identify $Z^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A))$ with $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)$. By [Ma2; Lemma 4.8],

$$\lambda : Z^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$$

is an injective homomorphism of group.

Let $V : \mathbb{Z}_+ \to \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)$ be a $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)$-valued function on $\mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfing

$$U(k) = v\varphi_A^k(v^*), \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

for some unitary $v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)$. Then V is called a coboundary for φ_A. Since

$$V(k)\varphi_A^k(V(l)) = v\varphi_A^k(v^*)\varphi_A^k(v\varphi_A^l(v^*)) = V(k+l)$$

a coboundary V for φ_A is a unitary one-cocycle for φ_A. Let $B^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A))$ be the set of all coboundaries for φ_A. It is easy to see that $B^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A))$ is a subgroup of $Z^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A))$. We remark that if $U \in Z^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A))$ satisfies $U(1) = v\varphi_A(v^*)$ for some $v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)$, then $U(k) = v\varphi_A^k(v^*)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence $U \in B^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A))$.

Define $H^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A))$ by the quotient group $Z^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A))/B^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A))$, that is called the cohomology group for φ_A.

Theorem 6.5. *There exist short exact sequences:*

(1) $1 \longrightarrow Z^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) \xrightarrow{\phi} N([\sigma_A]_c) \longrightarrow 1,$

(2) $1 \longrightarrow B^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) \xrightarrow{\phi} [\sigma_A]_c \longrightarrow 1,$

(3) $1 \longrightarrow H^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) \xrightarrow{\phi} N([\sigma_A]_c)/[\sigma_A]_c \longrightarrow 1.$

They all split. Hence $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ *is a semi-direct product*

$$\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) = N([\sigma_A]_c)/[\sigma_A]_c \cdot H^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)).$$

Proof. (1) As $N([\sigma_A]_c) = N_c[\sigma_A]$ by Lemma 6.1, Proposition 6.3 and Proposition 6.4 imply that the homomorphism $\phi : \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) \longrightarrow N([\sigma_A]_c)$ is defined and surjective. By [Ma2;Lemma 4.8], $\lambda : Z^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ is injective. Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ be such that $\Phi(\alpha) = \mathrm{id}$ and hence $\alpha|_{\mathfrak{D}_A} = \mathrm{id}$. By [Ma2;Corollary 4.7], $\alpha|_{\mathfrak{D}_A} = \mathrm{id}$ if and only if $\alpha = \lambda(U)$ for some $U \in Z^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A))$. Hence we have $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi) = Z^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A))$. By Proposition 6.3, for $\varphi \in N_c[\sigma_A]$, there exists an automorphism $\alpha_\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$, that is of the form $\alpha_\varphi = Ad(u_\varphi)$, where $u_\varphi : \mathfrak{H}_A \longrightarrow \mathfrak{H}_B$ is a unitary defined in the proof of Proposition 5.5. It is clear to see that $\phi \circ \alpha_\varphi = \varphi$. Hence the sequence splits.

(2) Theorem 4.8 implies that the homomorphism $\phi : \mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) \longrightarrow [\sigma_A]_c$ is defined and surjective. For $\alpha \in \mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$, take $v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_A)$ such that $\alpha = Ad(v)$. Hence v belongs to $N(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ such that , suppose that $\Phi(Ad(v)) = \mathrm{id}$ in $[\sigma_A]_c$. By (1), there exists a cocycle $U \in Z^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A))$ such taht $Ad(v) = \lambda(U)$. By Ma2;lemma 5.14], one sees that $v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)$ and $U(1) = v\varphi_A(v^*)$. Hence U belongs to $B^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A))$. As the sequence (1) splits, the section in (1) yields a section in (2). Hence (2) splits.

(3) The exact sequnce follows from (1) and (2), that splits. □

7. Orbit equivalence and AF-algebras

In this section, we will show that the discussions in the previous sections can be applied to the pair $(\mathcal{F}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ of the AF-algebra $\mathcal{F}_A$ and its diagonal algebra $\mathfrak{D}_A$, instead of the pair $(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ that we have studied. For $x = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_A$, the *uniform orbit*$orb_{\sigma_A}[x]$ of x is defined by

$$orb_{\sigma_A}[x] = \cup_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_A^{-k}(\sigma_A^k(x)) \subset X_A.$$

Hence $y = (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_A$ belongs to $orb_{\sigma_A}[x]$ if and only if there exist $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and an admissible word $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k \in B_k(X_A)$ such that

$$y = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, y_{k+1}, y_{k+2}, \dots).$$

Let $[[\sigma_A]]$ be the set of all homeomorphisms $\tau \in \mathrm{Homeo}(X_A)$ such that $\tau(x) \in orb_{\sigma_A}[x]$ for all $x \in X_A$. Let $[\sigma_A]_{AF}$ be the set of all τ in $[[\sigma_A]]$ such that there exists a continuous map $k : X_A \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\sigma_A^{k(x)}(\tau(x)) = \sigma_A^{k(x)}(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X_A. \tag{7.1}$$

We call $[\sigma_A]_{AF}$ the AF-full group for (X_A, σ_A). As X_A is compact, for a homeomorphism $\tau \in \mathrm{Homeo}(X_A)$, τ belongs to $[\sigma_A]_{AF}$ if and only if there exists a constant number $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\sigma_A^k(\tau(x)) = \sigma_A^k(x)$ for all $x \in X_A$. We set for $x \in X_A$, $[\sigma_A]_{AF}(x) = \{\tau(x) \mid \tau \in [\sigma_A]_{AF}\}$. It is immediate to see that $[\sigma_A]_{AF}(x) = orb_{\sigma_A}[x]$. Let $N(\mathcal{F}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ be the normalizer of $\mathfrak{D}_A$ in $\mathcal{F}_A$, that is defined as the group of all unitaries $u \in \mathcal{F}_A$ such that $u\mathfrak{D}_A u^* = \mathfrak{D}_A$. We note that the algebra $\mathfrak{D}_A$ is also maximal abelian in $\mathcal{F}_A$. By a similar manner to the proof of Proposition 4.1, we have

Lemma 7.1. *For any $\tau \in [\sigma_A]_{AF}$, there exists a unitary $u_\tau \in N(\mathcal{F}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ such that*

$$Ad(u_\tau)(f) = f \circ \tau^{-1} \quad \text{for } f \in \mathfrak{D}_A,$$

and the correspondence $\tau \in [\sigma_A]_{AF} \to u_\tau \in N(\mathcal{F}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ is a homomorphism of group.

By Lemma 4.5 we have

Lemma 7.2. *Let $u \in N(\mathcal{F}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ be a unitary. Let $h_u \in \mathrm{Homeo}(X_A)$ be the homeomorphism on X_A induced by the restriction of $Ad(u)$ to $\mathfrak{D}_A$ such that $Ad(u)(f) = f \circ h_u^{-1}$ for $f \in \mathfrak{D}_A$. Then there exists a number $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma_A^k(h_u(x)) = \sigma_A^k(x)$ for $x \in X_A$. Namely $h_u \in [\sigma_A]_{AF}$.*

Therefore by a similar proof of Theorem 4.8, we have

Prposition 7.3. *There exists a short exact sequnce:*

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} N(\mathcal{F}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) \xrightarrow{\tau} [\sigma_A]_{AF} \longrightarrow 1$$

that splits.

We say that (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are *uniformly orbit equivalent* if there exists a homeomorphism $h : X_A \to X_B$ such that $h(orb_{\sigma_A}[x]) = orb_{\sigma_B}[h(x)]$ for $x \in X_A$ and there exist constant numbers k_1, $k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\sigma_B^{k_1}(h(\sigma_A(x)) = \sigma_B^{k_1}(h(x)), \qquad \sigma_A^{k_2}(h^{-1}(\sigma_B(y)) = \sigma_A^{k_2}(h^{-1}(y))$$

for $x \in X_A$ and $y \in X_B$. Then by a completely similar manner to the proof of Proposition 5.2, Proposition 5.3, Proposition 5.4, Proposition 5.5 and Theorem 5.6, we have

Theorem 7.4. *The following three assertions are equivalent:*

1. *There exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{F}_A \to \mathcal{F}_B$ such that $\Phi(\mathfrak{D}_A) = \mathfrak{D}_B$.*
2. *(X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are uniformly orbit equivalent.*
3. *There exists a homeomorphism $h : X_A \to X_B$ such that $h \circ [\sigma_A]_{AF} \circ h^{-1} = [\sigma_B]_{AF}$.*

Let $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ be the group of all automorphisms α of $\mathcal{F}_A$ such that $\alpha(\mathfrak{D}_A) = \mathfrak{D}_A$. Denote by $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{F}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ of inner automorphisms on $\mathcal{F}_A$. We set $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{F}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ the quotient group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)/\mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{F}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$. By the same argument as Section 6, we have

Theorem 7.5. *There exist short exact sequeneces:*

(1) $1 \longrightarrow Z^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) \xrightarrow{\phi} N([\sigma_A]_{AF}) \longrightarrow 1$

(2) $1 \longrightarrow B^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathrm{Inn}(\mathcal{F}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) \xrightarrow{\phi} [\sigma_A]_{AF} \longrightarrow 1,$

(3) $1 \longrightarrow H^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{F}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) \xrightarrow{\phi} N([\sigma_A]_{AF})/[\sigma_A]_{AF} \longrightarrow 1.$

They all split. Hence $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{F}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A)$ *is a semi-direct product*

$$\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{F}_A, \mathfrak{D}_A) = N([\sigma_A]_{AF})/[\sigma_A]_{AF} \cdot H^1_{\sigma_A}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{D}_A)).$$

where $N([\sigma_A]_{AF})$ is the normalizer subgroup of $[\sigma_A]_{AF}$ in $[[\sigma_A]]$.

References

[BF]. R. Bowen and J. Franks, *Homology for zero-dimensional nonwandering sets*, Ann. Math. **106** (1977), 73–92.

[Boy]. M. Boyle, *Topological orbit equivalence and factor maps in symbolic dynamics*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Washington (1983).

[BT]. M. Boyle and J. Tomiyama, *Bounded topological orbit equivalence and C^*-algebras*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **50** (1998), 317–329.

[CM]. T. M. Carlsen and K. Matsumoto, *Some remarks on the C^*-algebras associated with subshifts*, Math. Scand. **95** (2004), 145–160.

[CoKr]. A. Connes and W. Krieger, *Measure space automorphisms, the normalizers of their full groups, and approximate finiteness*, J. Funct. Anal. **18** (1975), 318–327.

[Cu]. J. Cuntz, *Simple C^*-algebras generated by isometries*, Comm. Math. Phys. **57** (1977), 173–185.

[Cu2]. J. Cuntz, *Automorphisms of certain simple C^*-algebras*, in Quantum Fields-Algebras, Processes, Springer Verlag, Wien-New York (1980), 187–196.

[Cu3]. J. Cuntz, *A class of C^*-algebras and topological Markov chains II: reducible chains and the Ext- functor for C^*-algebras*, Invent. Math. **63** (1980), 25–40.

[CK]. J. Cuntz and W. Krieger, *A class of C^*-algebras and topological Markov chains*, Invent. Math. **56** (1980), 251–268.

[D]. H. Dye, *On groups of measure preserving transformations*, American J. Math. **81** (1959), 119–159.

[D2]. H. Dye, *On groups of measure preserving transformations II*, American J. Math. **85** (1963), 551–576.

[Fr]. J. Franks, *Flow equivalence of subshifts of finite type*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **4** (1984), 53–66.

[GPS]. T. Giordano, I. F. Putnam and C. F. Skau, *Topological orbit equivalence and C^*-crossed products*, J. reine angew. Math. **469** (1995), 51–111.

[GPS2]. T. Giordano, I. F. Putnam and C. F. Skau, *Full groups of Cantor minimal systems*, Isr. J. Math. **111** (1999), 285–320.

[GMPS]. T. Giordano, H. Matui, I. F. Putnam and C. F. Skau, *Orbit equivalemce for Cantor minimal $\mathbb{Z}^2$-systems*, preprint (2006).

[HO]. T. Hamachi and M. Oshikawa, *Fundamental homomorphisms of normalizer of ergodic transformation*, Lecture Notes in Math. Springer **729** (1978).

[HPS]. R. H. Herman, I. F. Putnam and C. F. Skau, *Ordered Bratteli diagrams, dimension groups and topological dynamics*, Internat. J. Math. **3** (1992), 827–864.

[KT]. Y. Katayama and H. Takehana, *On automorphisms of generalized Cuntz algebras*, Internat. J. Math. **9** (1998), 493–512.

[Ki]. B. P. Kitchens, *Symbolic dynamics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1998.

[Kr]. W. Krieger, *On ergodic flows and isomorphisms of factors*, Math. Ann **223** (1976), 19–70.

[Kr2]. W. Krieger, *On dimension for a class of homeomorphism groups*, Math. Ann **252** (1980), 87–95.

[Kr3]. W. Krieger, *On dimension functions and topological Markov chains*, Invent. Math. **56** (1980), 239–250.

[LM]. D. Lind and B. Marcus, *An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[Ma]. K. Matsumoto, *On C^*-algebras associated with subshifts*, Internat. J. Math. **8** (1997), 357–374.

[Ma2]. K. Matsumoto, *On automorphisms of C^*-algebras associated with subshifts*, J. Operator Theory **44** (2000), 91–112.

[Ma3]. K. Matsumoto, *C^*-algebras associated with presentations of subshifts*, Doc. Math. **7** (2002), 1–30.

[Ma4]. K. Matsumoto, *Orbit equivalence of symbolic dynamical systems and C^*-algebras*, in preparation.

[Put]. I. F. Putnam, *the C^*-algebras associated with minimal homeomorphisms of the Cantor set*, Pacific. J. Math. **136** (1989), 329–353.

[Rø1]. M. Rørdam, *Classification of Cuntz-Krieger algebras*, K-theory **9** (1995), 31–58.

[To]. J. Tomiyama, *Topological full groups and structure of normalizers in transformation group C^*-algebras*, Pacific. J. Math. **173** (1996), 571–583.

[To2]. J. Tomiyama, *Representation of topological dynamical systems and C^*-algebras*, Contemporary Math. **228** (1998), 351–364.

[Wi]. R. F. Williams, *Classification of subshifts of finite type*, Ann. Math. **98** (1973), 120–153, erratum, Ann. Math. $99(1974), 380-381$.

e-mail: kengo@yokohama-cu.ac.jp